



A Lott of Lies: Debunking John Lott, the NRA's Favorite Academic
Fact Sheet
March 6, 2019

OVERVIEW

Economist John R. Lott Jr., author of the 1998 book *More Guns, Less Crime*, has been touted as an expert by the corporate gun lobby, including the National Rifle Association. Lott's most prominent claims have been decisively refuted, yet he is still cited and published by media outlets including The New York Times, Washington Post, Wall Street Journal, LA Times, CNN, and Fox. In recent years, he has testified as an expert witness in Michigan, Tennessee, Wyoming, Nevada, and even the US Senate. Lott is currently the president of The Crime Prevention Research Center, where he promotes his book *The War On Guns* which has received rave reviews from prominent conservative politicians.

But beneath the accolades, Lott has committed a host of ethical violations. In addition, his research is riddled with **numerous flaws and inaccuracies**, all of which completely undermines his credibility.

SIGNIFICANT ETHICAL VIOLATIONS

John Lott has been caught:

- Fraudulently claiming to have published a study in the peer-reviewed Econ Journal Watch even though the journal had rejected his paper.¹
- Falsely claiming that the mass shooting rate in Europe is equivalent to that in the United States while his own research actually showed that the US had double Europe's rate.²
- Falsely claiming that more than 99% of Brady background check denials are errors. An Inspector General's report revealed that these background check denials are accurate in 99.8% of cases.³
- Fabricating an entire survey on defensive gun use. When asked to provide hard evidence of the survey, he claimed all the data had been lost in a computer crash.⁴
- Repeatedly supporting studies that have significant errors that either nullify or reverse their results.^{5,6}
- Frequently hiding his work behind fake identities. In 2003, Lott was uncovered as having invented the identity Mary Rosh -- who claimed to be a former student of Lott's -- to defend his own work.⁷ And in 2015, Lott was uncovered as the real author of a first person account by stalking victim Taylor Woolrich.⁸

LOTT'S FLAWED RESEARCH

John Lott's status as a credible expert rests on two deeply flawed foundations: his original research on Right-to-Carry (RTC) laws and newer research on whether gun-free zones attract mass shooters.

Lott's research claims that passing RTC laws dramatically reduces rates of violent crime. However:

- In 2004, the National Research Council voted 15-1 that the current evidence could not support Lott's claim that Right-to-Carry laws reduce crime.⁹
- A majority of national research since 2005 finds that right to carry laws increase violent crime.¹⁰ ([GVPedia Concealed Carry Literature Review](#))

Lott's research on the intersection of gun-free zones and mass shootings makes three claims: that RTC laws greatly reduce such shootings; that only 4 mass shootings (where 4 or more people are killed) since 1950 have occurred in areas that did not ban firearms; and that citizens with concealed carry permits frequently stop would-be mass shootings. Yet:

- A peer-reviewed 2002 study found no evidence that RTC laws reduce mass public shootings.¹¹
- Lott's own research indicates that at least six additional mass shootings didn't occur in areas that banned guns.¹²
- His research misclassifies a significant number of mass shootings that actually occurred in areas allowing guns, ranging from Hialeah, Florida to Umpqua Community College in Oregon.¹³
- An FBI report of 160 active shooting events found that only 1 was stopped by a concealed carry permit holder, compared with 4 by armed guards, 2 by off-duty police, and 21 by unarmed civilians.¹⁴

For more information, contact Devin Hughes, CFA at Devin@GVPedia.org.

-
1. <https://thinkprogress.org/debunking-john-lott-5456e83cf326#.yjq5yv7x>
 2. Ibid
 3. <https://oig.justice.gov/reports/2016/a1632.pdf>
 4. <http://www.armedwithreason.com/shooting-down-the-gun-lobbys-favorite-academic-a-lott-of-lies/>
 5. <https://thinkprogress.org/debunking-john-lott-5456e83cf326#.yjq5yv7x>
 6. http://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/fss_papers/1242/
 7. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/lifestyle/2003/02/01/scholar-invents-fan-to-answer-his-critics/f3ae3f46-68d6-4eee-a65e-1775d45e2133/>
 8. https://www.buzzfeed.com/madisonpauly/why-taylor-woolrich-wanted-a-gun?utm_term=.jjR02z9P8#.bjLX5ZpyR
 9. <https://www.nap.edu/read/10881/chapter/8>
 10. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2443681
 11. https://www.utdallas.edu/senate/documents/MassPublicShootings_000.pdf
 12. <https://thinkprogress.org/debunking-john-lott-5456e83cf326#.yjq5yv7x>
 13. <http://www.vox.com/2016/8/30/12700222/nra-social-scientist-claims-debunked>
 14. <https://www.thetrace.org/2015/06/gun-rights-advocates-say-that-places-that-ban-guns-attract-mass-shooters-the-data-says-theyre-wrong/>